

Agenda

UKPHR BOARD MEETING

9 February

ITEM			
1	Welcome, apologies and new declarations of interest- Chair		
2	Minutes of Board meeting on 23 November 2022 - Chair		
3	Actions and matters arising – Chair		
4	Governance forward planner- Chief Executive		
Substantive Items			
5	Corporate planning: 2021 Improvement plan and 2023/24 business plan		
6	Opening bank accounts with CA		
7	Practitioner Conference		
8	Registration Online- demonstration		
9	Committee recommendations for Board decision: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Reduced fees policy (from ARRC) b. Committee name change (from Education & Training committee) 		
Reports & updates			
10	Chief Executive’s report (including Chair decisions and meetings)	CEO	<i>Item 9</i>
11	Registration report	Registrar	<i>Item 11a - Minutes of RAC 24/11/2022</i> <i>Item 11b- Minutes of RAC 16/12/2022</i> <i>Item 11c- Minutes of RAC 19/1/23</i>

			<i>Item 11d- Registration data</i> <i>Item 11e- Registration Policy Group minutes,</i>
12	Audit, Risk and Remuneration report	ARRC Chair	<i>Item 12 - Minutes of ARR Committee,</i>
13	Education and Training report	E&T Chair & Registrar	<i>Item 13 – Minutes of E&T Committee</i>
14	Any other business	Chair	
15	Date and time of next meeting- 20 th April 2023 2-4pm		

Item 05: UKPHR corporate planning

Confidential

Summary

1. This item outlines UKPHR's new approach to planning in general, which will sit below the strategic plan which will be discussed at the Board away day in April 2023.
2. Attached at item 5a is the 2023/24 document, pulled together by the UKPHR team in a group session, outlining all work they expect to do during the next financial year, to ensure it is accounted for, monitored, and realistic in terms of resource. This plan is organised according to a Gantt chart, and includes work categorised as business as usual, projects (including policy work), governance, and servicing external meetings. Some project work listed and highlighted in yellow has not yet been assigned or given a target as it is still in very initial stages. This document will be live, and additional columns regarding status and outcomes will be added. The intention is that UKPHR will not take on significant additional work outside of what is listed on this plan, although of course we will need to remain responsive to live issues and ensure we have capacity to do so. The 2023/24 budget will align with this plan.
3. The Audit, Risk, & Remuneration Committee considered this approach and were very supportive. They agreed that the level of detail was not necessary for regular Board scrutiny, although an annual update at a higher level would be useful, perhaps as a regular exercise at the meeting prior to budget discussion.
4. They also agreed that updates to the UKPHR Improvement plan, approved by the Board in September 2021 and updated in June 2022 had progressed significantly. Any outstanding actions are either completed or covered by the operational plan as BAU or an ongoing project. There was agreement that the improvement plan could be closed and replaced by this annual operational plan and an annual update to the ARRC/Board. Once the strategic plan is agreed, monitoring of that will also be discussed.

Action

5. Board to agree the ARRC recommendation that the Improvement plan can be closed.
6. Board to agree that they support the proposed approach to UKPHR planning and monitoring.

UKPHR Board

9 February 2023

Item 5b

UKPHR Improvement Plan

Approved: September 2021

Progress updates: June 2022, January 2023

Area for improvement	Actions so far	Next steps	What does success look like?
<i>Improving efficiency</i>			
Upgrade registration IT software	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SOPs finalised• Build nearly completed	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Go-live 31 January 2023• Evaluation planned	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Less manual work for staff• Reduction in admin-related enquiries from registrants• Improved KPI reporting• An improved/more straightforward experience for registrants
Improve registration processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Embedding of the RPG and creation/implementation of policies meant to improve registrant experience• Initiation of Revalidation Review project• Recruitment of 2 new staff members to work on registration	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Revalidation review final report due summer/autumn 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated policies implemented and utilised• Reduction in enquiries from registrants

	<p>services and practitioner registration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revalidation review task & finish group progressing 		
<i>Improving income/capacity</i>			
Increase numbers of practitioner registrants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of Practitioner Registration Working Group to explore practitioner policy and process issues and solutions to ensure programme is attractive and sustainable • New practitioner registration coordinator in place • Issues log in relation to Schemes progressing at pace • Improved comms with schemes and registrants with positive feedback from coordinators and moderators • Engagement with key employers has resulted in invitations to workforce discussions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued work on action log and policy work • Continue to target engagement/influence with employer groups to promote registration • Work with FPH to promote practitioner registration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steady Increase in numbers of practitioner registrants • Positive feedback from scheme coordinators • Clear engagement and support from employers (ie funding, inclusion on JDs)
Review fees structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendations from consultant 'Value of Registration' report have been considered by the Board • Revised fees structure approved by the Board and to be open for consultation early January 2023 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publish final fees structure in spring 2023 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implementation and publication of clearly justified fees structure • Low rates of negative feedback from registrants (although some is inevitable) • Low attrition rates

<p>Explore partnership opportunities with other regulators</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engagement with DHSC consultations • Intelligence gathering on legislative reform (via meetings with DHSC, PSA and GMC) • Risk register reflects current situation • Policy reviews to ensure alignment with other regulators (ie FtP, revalidation) • Continued close engagement/ meetings with other small regulators such as AHS and CIEH to continue to explore opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy revisions taken to Board for discussion/approval • Once Regulator Online is implemented, to re-assess whether we should explore admin-sharing arrangements with other similar sized-organisation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UKPHR is positioned as influencer of national policy • Increased evidence of equivalence with medical PH specialists (ie through policy improvements) • Risk ratings relating to sustainability of the organisations is decreased
<p>Build presence across four nations of the UK</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CEO has met with key groups from the PH systems across each country to ensure understanding • Updated risk register to ensure 4-country risks are included and current • Continued work on revision of policy and process to align with new employers in England • Contributing to Scotland discussions around nationalising and expanding practitioner schemes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With Chair, continue to pursue engagement for continued influencing across four nations • Continue to explore practitioner scheme in NI • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased practitioner registration from Scotland • Movement towards establishment of Practitioner scheme in NI • Access national healthcare funding opportunities

Item 7: UKPHR Conference

Summary

1. Following a very successful virtual Practitioners Conference in October 2022, the team are starting to work towards next year's conference.
2. Attached at item 7a is a document put together by Chamberlain Dunn regarding options for the conference, which provides detailed proposals and costings including:
 - a. Costs of a virtual conference compared with an in- person event for practitioners (assume 200 virtual, 150 live) or for all registrants (assume 500 virtual, 300 live)
 - b. Comparative costs for venues in London and Birmingham
 - c. Sponsorship potential for an in-person event.
3. There is a significant difference in costings, which are clearly outlined. However, there are some things which could offset or impact:
 - a. we could charge attendees who are not registered practitioners; it has always been free for everyone so this would need to be a carefully managed change.
 - b. An in-person event could mean income from sponsors; we didn't pursue sponsorship last year because of the virtual nature, but this is something we could explore further, including corporate sponsors, for next year.
 - c. We may be able to organise discounted venues in London- partner organisations such as the FPH and the RSPH are keen to work with us and might have access to spaces in London.
4. The conference has previously been billed as a benefit for Practitioners, but it would also be useful to explore whether we would want to put something on for all registrants. It's been marketed as a clear benefit for practitioners, and we don't really know what the level of interest is for other registrants at this point. We'd also have to look again at the agenda to ensure there is broader appeal. Expansion of the conference is more expensive, but also expands our reach further. There is also the question of team resources if we're to hold a larger event.
5. Although work in earnest won't start for a while, it would be helpful to have a small group of Board members helping to shape the agenda.

Action

6. Board to agree whether to hold a virtual or in-person conference. If in-person, should it be London or Birmingham (or somewhere else)
7. Board to agree to hold a conference for all registrants or to retain the focus on practitioners for now
8. Board members to volunteer to help shape the agenda.

UKPHR: CONFERENCE AND AWARDS 2023

SOME COMPARATIVE COSTINGS FROM CHAMBERLAIN DUNN

UKPHR conference and awards 2023

Some costing options

To help the UKPHR Board to make decisions about its 2023 event, we have prepared some options so that costs can be compared. You will be considering whether to be virtual, in-person or hybrid, whether to expand the event to open it to all registrants and looking at the options of holding the event in Birmingham or London.

These are indicative costs (which exclude VAT) and do not include marketing, event management or other costs associated with the awards programme. Venues, unfortunately, do not provide costs in a uniform way, but to help comparisons we have included daily delegate rate or equivalent, two breakout rooms, and audio visual.

You requested:

1. Costs of a virtual conference compared with an in- person event for practitioners (assume 200 virtual, 150 live) and for all registrants (assume 500 virtual, 300 live)
2. Comparative costs for venues in London and Birmingham
3. Sponsorship potential for an in-person event.

1. Virtual conference compared with an in- person event for practitioners (assume 200 virtual, 150 live) and for all registrants (assume 500 virtual, 300 live)

Practitioner conference:

Virtual only, 200 participants, basic technical and platform costs: **£6,000**

In person 150 London, including any additional costs: **£16,700**

In person 150 Birmingham, including any additional costs: **£12,000**

+ hybrid for 200: **£10,000**

All registrants conference:

Virtual only, 500 participants, basic technical and platform costs: **£8,000**

In person 300 London, including any additional costs: **£28,000**

In person 300 Birmingham, including any additional costs: **£18,000**

+ hybrid for 200: **£10,000**

These high hybrid costs need a word of explanation. Airmeet, and other comparable platforms, have greatly increased their prices recently and, while they look manageable for a wholly virtual event, once they are linked with a live event the costs shoot up. This is because specialist on-site audio-visual help is needed to capture the live output for the platform, costs which have to be added to the platform fees. This makes hybrid an expensive option because, basically, it requires two sets of costs.

2. London v Birmingham: costs for in-person event

London


Option 1 - 133 Houndsditch

Partnership suite and exclusive hire of 3rd Floor

Theatre max capacity – 240, cabaret style not available.

With room for exhibition and including three servings of tea and coffee and a mezze lunch.

Estimated costs for 150 delegates and main room hire, **£11,700**, which includes AV costs and breakout rooms.



*133 Houndsditch is a light, modern venue located desirably in Central London, with good public transport links and internal accommodation options. This venue is reasonably priced for the area although worth noting the max capacity of **240**, which is under your specification of potential 300.*

Catering can be provided in the Exchange Lounge and Gallery area, pictured below, along with space for exhibition stands. There is also space for breakouts in the Affiliation / Connection rooms, all included within the price.





Option 2 - Novotel London West

Cremant Suite

Cabaret max capacity – 520, theatre max capacity – 1000

With room for exhibition and including three servings of tea and coffee and a buffet lunch.

Estimated costs for 150 delegates and main room hire, **£9,375**, with additional costs for AV and breakout rooms.



The Novotel London West is an accessible venue in West London, with good public transport links and internal accommodation options. This venue is a professional chain that is reasonably priced and the Cremant Suite has more than enough room to host the event in cabaret style, with the potential for exhibition space as well.

There are various other spaces available for breakout rooms, but these will come at an additional cost.

Birmingham

Birmingham Conference and Events Centre

Mercian room

Cabaret style max capacity – 400, theatre max capacity – 650


Additional space for breakout rooms can be hired. Limited space for exhibition in the lobby and pre-function space. Cost of hire includes three servings of tea and coffee and a buffet lunch.

Estimated costs for 150 delegates and main room hire, **£6,000**, with additional costs for AV and breakout rooms.



The Birmingham Conference and Events Centre is a purpose-built venue located a two-minute walk from Birmingham New Street Station, with a host of accommodation available surrounding and links with the Holiday Inn. This venue is cost-effective and easily has enough space to accommodate your numbers.

Catering can be provided in the lobby and pre-function space; there is also limited space for exhibition stands. There are further spaces available to hire for breakout rooms, but these would be on another level of the venue.



Novotel Birmingham City Centre

Lunar room

Cabaret style max capacity – 180, theatre max capacity – 300

Additional space for exhibition and breakout rooms can be hired. Cost of hire includes three servings of tea and coffee and a buffet lunch.

Estimated costs for 150 delegates and main room hire, **£5,700**, with additional costs for AV and breakout rooms.



The Novotel Birmingham City Centre is a short walk from Birmingham New Street and offers internal accommodation options. This venue is a professional chain that is priced at a budget and offers a lower maximum capacity for a more modest event.

There are various other spaces available for exhibition and breakout rooms, but these will come at an additional cost.



3. Sponsorship potential for an in-person event

Sponsorship potential is far greater for an in-person event. Our experience of being involved in the UKPHR conference and the awards is still quite limited – two in-person events, and two virtual. Even with platforms such as Airmeet that facilitate sponsor/exhibitor interaction, the experience is in no way comparable to meeting people face to face, exhibitors report.

The original award categories were not of great appeal to sponsors, but the introduction of new categories, such as Employer of the Year helps to open it up and offer wider scope for sponsorship. Health Education England has provided financial support, specifically for the conference as they do not directly sponsor awards.

For previous live events, sponsors have been entitled to a stand at the conference as part of their benefits package. It is difficult to estimate how many exhibition stands could be sold separate from the awards programme. Sponsorship generally has been hard to sell in the public health sector though the higher profile of UKPHR and the return of a live event will help.

In terms of offering potential supporter opportunities within the conference, there could be sponsored break-out sessions, lunch time demonstrations eg software products, drinks

reception before or after the awards and so on. It could well be worth seeing if there is any interest in these activities.

We look forward to discussing this further with you in due course, and supplying additional costs and a draft budget when needed.

Alison Dunn
Will Chamberlain-Webber
Joint managing directors
Chamberlain Dunn

January 2023

Reduced fees

Policy

DRAFT

Status: For Board approval	Version No: 1 st edition	Issue date:	Next revision: (every year with fee review)
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	---

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED. The electronic version of this document is the approved and most current. Any printed version is uncontrolled and may not be current. You can read the current, controlled version of the Exemption policy on UKPHR's website.

1. Purpose of this document

This document sets out the policy and procedure UKPHR intends to operate in respect of registrants who wish to apply for consideration of a reduction of their annual renewal fee due to a temporary reduction in income because of hardship.

UKPHR registration is assurance of competent public health practice for the purpose of public protection.

A registrant who retires completely or ceases practicing public health entirely and therefore experiences a reduction in income poses no risk of harm to the public and need not therefore be on UKPHR's register.

2. Eligibility

Registrants will be eligible for a reduction in their annual renewal fees if they can evidence that they have experienced a reduction in income due to receiving only at least one of the following support for a minimum of 2 months.

- Statutory maternity pay
- Maternity allowance
- Statutory paternity pay
- Statutory adoption pay
- Statutory sick pay
- Employment Support Allowance
- Universal Credit
- Personal Independence Payment (PIP)
- Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit

Please note that a reduction in income due to part-time working /contracting/freelancing/reduced hours does not constitute eligibility to receive a reduction in annual renewal fees.

3. Available support

If an application for reduced fees is successful, registrants will receive a 50% reduction in their annual renewal fees, applicable only for the next annual renewal due.

If a registrant is paying their current annual renewal fees via monthly direct debit instalments, these will continue with no reduction, and their next annual renewal fee

Status: For Board approval	Version No: 1 st edition	Issue date:	Next revision: (every year with fee review)
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	---

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED. The electronic version of this document is the approved and most current. Any printed version is uncontrolled and may not be current. You can read the current, controlled version of the Exemption policy on UKPHR's website.

will be discounted. The following year, after the discounted year, the full annual renewal will be due, unless another successful reduced fees application is submitted.

If an application is unsuccessful, the full annual renewal fee will be applicable.

A registrant may successfully apply for reduction in fees for two consecutive renewal years. However, a third consecutive application will not be accepted by UKPHR, and it will be recommended that the registrant voluntarily withdraws their registration if they have not practised public health for two years and do not plan to do so for at least a further year.

A registrant who voluntarily withdraws their registration will not have to pay their annual renewal fee and their name will no longer be visible on the Register. Should a registrant later return to work, they can apply for restoration to the Register, which may incur a one-off restoration fee and renewal fees in arrears. Please refer to UKPHR's Lapsed, Restoration and Withdrawal policy for further information on withdrawal and restoration of registration.

4. Process

Registrants must apply for consideration of reduction of annual renewal fees via an application made directly to UKPHR's Registrar, alongside satisfactory evidence. The assessment of the application will incur no fee.

If UKPHR later discovers that a registrant has not provided full and honest details when making an application, UKPHR will investigate, and the resulting conclusion could result in a fitness to practice case being brought against the registrant.

5. Evidence

In applying for consideration of reduction of annual renewal fees, registrants must provide at least one piece of evidence to support their request.

The following types of evidence will be accepted as appropriate evidence:

- Statutory maternity pay - Maternity Certificate form (MAT B1) covering a period within the past year *and* other satisfactory evidence of receiving only Statutory Maternity Pay (SMP) from your employer for at least 2 months within the past year
- Maternity allowance - Maternity Certificate form (MAT B1) covering a period within the past year *and* other satisfactory evidence of receiving only

Status: For Board approval	Version No: 1 st edition	Issue date:	Next revision: (every year with fee review)
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	---

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED. The electronic version of this document is the approved and most current. Any printed version is uncontrolled and may not be current. You can read the current, controlled version of the Exemption policy on UKPHR's website.

Maternity Allowance for at least 2 months within the past year

- Statutory paternity pay - SC3, SC4, SC5 form submitted to employer *and* any other satisfactory evidence of receiving only Statutory Paternity Pay (SPP) for at least 2 months within the past year
- Statutory adoption pay – SC6 form submitted to employer *or* a Matching Certificate (or equivalent) from the adoption agency or if it is an overseas Adoption, a Certificate of Eligibility from the UK Authority which confirms the suitability to adopt *and* any other satisfactory evidence of receiving only Statutory Adoption Pay (SAP) for at least 2 months within the past year
- Statutory sick pay – Fit or sick note provided to employer *and* any other satisfactory evidence of receiving Statutory Sick Pay (SSP) for at least 2 months within the past year
- Employment Support Allowance – Proof of benefit letter (requested online through gov.uk) to confirm that you have or are currently receiving Employment Support Allowance for at least 2 months within the past year
- Universal Credit - Proof of benefit letter (requested online through gov.uk) to confirm that you have or are currently receiving Universal Credit for at least 2 months within the past year
- Personal Independence Payment (PIP) – PIP award letter evidencing that you have or are currently receiving PIP for at least 2 months within the past year
- Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit - BI100A or BI100PD form submitted *and* any other satisfactory evidence of receiving Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit for at least 2 months within the past year

6. Appeals

A decision made by the UKPHR's Registrar regarding a reduction in fees is not appealable.

Status: For Board approval	Version No: 1 st edition	Issue date:	Next revision: (every year with fee review)
----------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	---

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED. The electronic version of this document is the approved and most current. Any printed version is uncontrolled and may not be current. You can read the current, controlled version of the Exemption policy on UKPHR's website.

Item 9b: Committee name change

Summary

1. The Education and Training Committee is a key part of the UKPHR's governance structure, discussing issues to do with education, training, and standards in public health practice. This is a core component of our business. However, UKPHR does not have any explicit responsibilities around the development, delivery, or regulation of public health specialty training programmes. This is something that is largely delegated to the Faculty of Public Health and the national educational bodies (ie HEE/NHS England, NES, NIMDTA, HEIW).
2. Following discussion at the recent Education & Training committee (31 January 2023), there was agreement that UKPHR's focus is quite rightly on the standards of practice as outlined in *Good Public Health Practice* (GPHP). The core business of any healthcare regulator is its standards, and UKPHR is no different. However, this is not currently reflected in the governance structure.
3. The Committee is keen to remain engaged with public health training by continuing to liaise closely with the FPH regarding training standards and the curricula, and the Chief Executive is committed to developing relationships with the Lead Dean, Heads of Schools, and trainee networks. Training issues will still be considered by this committee.
4. The Committee agreed that it was appropriate to put 'standards' at the front and centre of their work and agenda, and therefore would like to change their name to the *Education and Standards Committee*.

Action

5. Board to agree to change the name of the *Education and Training Committee* to the *Education and Standards Committee*

21/22 - End of Month - total figures are rolling

	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	TREND
Generalist Specialist (Standard Route - Training)													
New registrants								2	1	2	2	0	
Total on register								411	413	416	418	417	
Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment (SRbPA)													
Pre-apps received								1	0	2	5	0	
Pre-apps approved								1	0	1	0	1	
Pre-apps rejected								2	0	0	0	3	
Portfolios received								1	0	0	2	0	
Portfolios approved								0	0	0	0	0	
Portfolios unassessable								1	0	0	0	0	
Portfolios rejected								0	0	0	0	0	
Total on register (Defined Specialists)								142	146	146	145	144	
Total on register (Generalist Specialist - Recognition of Specialist Status - (RSS))											185	183	
Total on register (Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment)											0	0	
Total on register (Generalist Specialist/Defined Specialist)											3	3	
Dual registrants													
New registrants								0	0	0	0	0	
Total on register								4	4	4	4	4	
Specialist & Specialty Registrar Annual Renewals													
Renewals due								0	0	0	0	0	
Total lapsed								86	89	87	85	88	
Total relinquished registration								187	201	201	202	204	
Specialist Revalidation Applications													
Applications received								21	16	19	16	23	
Applications approved								16	16	16	23	22	
Deferrals granted								4	4	2	5	2	
Specialty Registrar													
New registrants								0	0	0	0	0	
Total on register								7	7	7	7	7	
Practitioners													
New registrants								3	5	10	9	9	
Total on register								446	448	453	460	469	
Practitioner Renewals													
Renewals due								43	27	54	28	32	
Total lapsed								141	139	145	146	142	
Total relinquished registration								47	47	47	49	51	
Practitioner Re-Registration Applications													
Applications received								8	9	10	7	8	
Applications approved								4	6	4	18	6	
Deferrals granted								1	0	0	1	0	
Restoration Applications													
Applications received								3	0	7	0	4	
Granted with continuity								3	0	3	0	3	
Granted with loss of cont								0	0	0	0	0	
Refused								0	0	0	0	0	

22/23 - End of Month - total figures are rolling

	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	TREND
Generalist Specialist (Standard Route - Training)													
New registrants	3	4	3	2	5	7	5	1	4				
Total on register	416	419	375	406	418	426	432	480	438				
Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment (SRbPA)													
Pre-apps received	2	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	0				
Pre-apps approved	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0				
Pre-apps rejected	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1				
Portfolios received	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Portfolios approved	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Portfolios unassessable	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0				
Portfolios rejected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total on register (Defined Specialists)	143	147	150	144	146	147	147	147	147				
Total on register (Generalist Specialist - Recognition of Specialist Status - (RSS))	186	184	189	173	176	176	176	177	174				
Total on register (Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment)	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Total on register (Generalist Specialist/Defined Specialist)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3				
Dual registrants													
New registrants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total on register	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
Specialist & Specialty Registrar Annual Renewals													
Total number of active specialists on register	748	753	718	727	744	753	759	808	763	0	0	0	
Renewals due	0	0	0	733	0	0	0	0	0				
Total lapsed	91	83	65	104	96	95	94	93	92				
Total relinquished registration	198	201	210	215	215	215	216	216	219				
Specialist Revalidation Applications													
Applications received	12	13	15	8	9	5	15	10	12				
Applications approved	17	16	13	19	9	10	7	18	5				
Deferrals granted	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0				
Specialty Registrar													
New registrants	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total number of active specialty registrars on register	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8				
Practitioners													
New registrants	11	4	9	5	5	2	5	6	3				
Total number of active practitioners on register	480	477	482	480	482	478	487	489	486				
Practitioner Renewals													
Renewals due	41	49	52	51	31	40	25	45	34				
Total lapsed	140	145	148	153	158	162	159	162	167				
Total relinquished registration	51	54	55	55	55	56	57	57	58				
Practitioner Re-Registration Applications													
Applications received	5	5	5	10	2	9	6	5	9				
Applications approved	6	4	7	10	6	5	5	9	1				
Deferrals granted	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0				
Restoration Applications													
Applications received	3	4	2	22	9	2	1	2	0				
Granted with continuity	4	4	2	21	8	2	1	2	0				
Granted with loss of cont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Figures at 31 March
 "-" do not have figures & shaded is not applicable

	13-14	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	TREND
Generalist Specialist (Training route)										
New registrants	30	42	32	30	35	46	43	35	37	
Total on register	-	-	-	-	-	-	357	389	417	
Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment (SRbPA)										
Pre-apps received						5	10	9	12	
Pre-apps approved						1	5	3	4	
Pre-apps rejected						4	3	4	8	
Portfolios received						0	1	1	4	
Portfolios approved						0	0	0	0	
Portfolios unassessable						0	0	0	1	
Portfolios rejected						0	0	0	0	
New Defined Specialists	7	19	14	15	17	15	13	14	20	
Total on register (Defined Specialists)	-	-	-	-	-	-	125	134	147	
New Generalist Specialist - (RSS portfolio)	6	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Total on register (Generalist Specialist - RSS - portfolio)	-	-	-	-	-	-	223	201	185	
Total on register (Specialist Registration by Portfolio Assessment)						0	0	0	0	
New Generalist/Defined Specialist conversion	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Total on register (Generalist Specialist/Defined Specialist)	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	
Dual registrants										
New registrants	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	
Total on register	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	6	4	
Specialist & Specialty Registrar Renewals										
Total number of active specialists on register	-	-	-	-	-	-	709	732	756	
Total lapsed on Register	-	-	-	-	-	-	69	73	88	
Total relinquished registration	-	-	-	-	-	-	153	182	204	
Relinquished in year	4	1	6	4	18	19	20	23	22	
Specialist Revalidation Applications										
Applications approved						3	95	16	202	
Deferrals granted							7	74	40	
Specialty Registrar										
New registrants			2	1	5	1	0	6	0	
Total number of active specialty registrars on register			-	-	-	-	4	8	7	
Practitioners										
New registrants	44	33	58	79	74	66	78	71	78	
Total number of active practitioners on register	-	-	-	-	-	-	373	424	470	
Practitioner Renewals										
Lapsed on Register	-	-	-	-	-	-	103	118	142	
Total relinquished registration	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	43	51	
Relinquished in year	0	0	0	1	4	2	3	3	8	
Practitioner Re-Registration Applications										
Applications approved	-	-	-	-	20	25	18	11	70	
Deferrals granted	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	38	6	
Restoration Applications										
Applications received		1	17	14	19	15	32	21	98	
Granted with continuity		1	16	14	19	15	32	21	95	
Granted with loss of continuity		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Refused		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	